## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/681,156 MIKI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jayesh A. Patel 2624 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jayesh A. Patel. (3) Jingge. Wu. (2) Carl T. Thompson. Date of Interview: 09/05/2007 \$\$ Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,6 and 9. Identification of prior art discussed: Oshima (6226416)). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant stated that Oshima does not disclose flight characteristics. The applicant was pointed out that moving and rotation at Col 2 Lines 65-67) shows flight and rotation of an spherical object. Further Fig 3 represents calculations that are done based on movement as well as rotation. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

09/12/07